

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/697,592		Applicant(s) KELLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner William M. Treat		Art Unit 2181	

All Participants:

(1) William M. Treat.

(2) Christopher S. Chow.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102 and 112, 2nd

Claims discussed:
independent claims and claim 23

Prior art documents discussed:
Ginsberg et al. (Patent No. 6,175,916)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested wording (set forth in the attached examiner's amendment) for amendments to the independent claims to clarify them and allow them to distinguish over the prior art of record. He also suggested that the proposed amendment to independent claim 18 might render dependent claim 23 superfluous. Applicants' representative agreed to the proposed amendments..